## Californians their government

Mark Baldassare

Dean Bonner
Sonja Petek
Nicole Willcoxon

## CONTENTS

About the Survey ..... 2
Press Release ..... 3
2010 Election Context ..... 6
California State Budget ..... 16
Regional Map ..... 24
Methodology ..... 25
Questionnaire and Results ..... 27
in collaboration with
The James Irvine Foundation

## ABOUT THE SURVEY

The PPIC Statewide Survey series provides policymakers, the media, and the public with objective, advocacy-free information on the perceptions, opinions, and public policy preferences of California residents. Inaugurated in April 1998, this is the 107th PPIC Statewide Survey in a series that has generated a database of responses from more than 228,000 Californians.

This survey is the 41st in the Californians and Their Government series, which is conducted periodically to examine the social, economic, and political trends that influence public policy preferences and ballot choices. The series is supported with funding from The James Irvine Foundation. This survey seeks to raise public awareness, inform decisionmakers about public opinions, and stimulate public discussion and debate about important state and national issues.

This survey was conducted in the weeks prior to the June primary and as the 2010 election season gets into full swing; as the weak economy and high unemployment continue to weigh on the minds of Californians; and as more grim news about the state's budget deficit-that revenues will not meet projections in the May budget revision-is released. The national backdrop includes President Obama and Congress debating Wall Street reform and considering whether to address comprehensive immigration reform and new climate change policies this year.

This survey presents the responses of 2,003 adult residents throughout the state, interviewed in English or Spanish and reached by landline or cell phone. It includes findings on these topics:

- The 2010 elections, including Republican primary likely voter preferences for gubernatorial and senate candidates; likely voters' preferences regarding Proposition 14 on the June ballot and potential match-ups in the gubernatorial and senate general elections; and attention to news about gubernatorial candidates. We also examine perceptions and preferences regarding two issues-marijuana and water policy-that will be on the November ballot. The survey looks at residents' overall mood and outlook for California, and approval ratings of state and federal elected officials.
- The 2010-11 California budget, including perceptions of the seriousness of the multibilliondollar budget deficit and preferred methods for dealing with it; satisfaction with the governor's budget proposal; and concerns about spending cuts and whether tax increases should have been included in that proposal. The survey also examines Californians' willingness to pay higher taxes to maintain funding for major state programs; perceptions about potential new revenue sources; and support for fiscal reforms being discussed in the legislature.
- Time trends, national comparisons, and the extent to which Californians-based on their political party affiliation, region of residence, race/ethnicity, and other demographics-may differ in their perceptions, attitudes, and preferences regarding the 2010 elections and state budget issues.

This report may be downloaded free of charge from our website (www.ppic.org). For questions about the survey, please contact survey@ppic.org. Try our PPIC Statewide Survey interactive tools online at http://www.ppic.org/main/survAdvancedSearch.asp.

## CONTACT

Statewide
Linda Strean 415-291-4412

## Survey

NEWS RELEASE

EMBARGOED: Do not publish or broadcast until 9:00 p.m. PDT on Wednesday, May 19, 2010.
Para ver este comunicado de prensa en español, por favor visite nuestra página de internet: http://www.ppic.org/main/pressreleaseindex.asp

PPIC STATEWIDE SURVEY: CALIFORNIANS AND THEIR GOVERNMENT
Stunning Drop in Whitman's Support Transforms GOP Race for Governor

FIORINA, CAMPBELL IN DEAD HEAT WHILE DEVORE'S SUPPORT DOUBLES

SAN FRANCISCO, May 19, 2010—Support for Meg Whitman has plummeted 23 points since March, and she is now in a far closer race with Steve Poizner to become the Republican nominee for governor. These are among the results of a statewide survey released today by the Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC) with support from The James Irvine Foundation.

Less than a month before the June primary, Whitman leads Poizner 38 percent to 29 percent among Californians likely to vote in the Republican primary. A third of likely voters (31\%) are undecided. In January, Whitman led Poizner by 30 points (41\% Whitman, 11\% Poizner, 44\% undecided) and in March, by 50 points ( $61 \%$ Whitman, $11 \%$ Poizner, $25 \%$ undecided).

Whitman's support has dropped at least 17 points across all demographic groups, with the sharpest declines among those who are not college graduates (29 points) and those whose annual household incomes are at least \$80,000 (28 points). Support for Poizner has increased sharply across demographic groups, but a plurality in each group would still vote for Whitman.

The Republican senate primary race is also close, with Carly Fiorina (25\%) and Tom Campbell (23\%) deadlocked, as they were in March (24\% Fiorina, 23\% Campbell), and support doubling for Chuck DeVore (16\% today, $8 \%$ March) among GOP likely voters. Thirty-six percent are undecided. Fiorina and Campbell have similar levels of support among men (29\% Fiorina, 25\% Campbell, 17\% Devore), with 29 percent undecided. Support for the two candidates is also similar among women (21\% Fiorina, 20\% Campbell, $14 \%$ DeVore), but 44 percent of women are still undecided.
"This election is very much in flux," says Mark Baldassare, PPIC president and CEO. "Voters are alienated. Republicans are struggling to figure out what to do about it and what their party stands for. The Democrats-with their candidates unchallenged-aren't going through this soul searching."

## 60 PERCENT FAVOR PROPOSITION 14

In contrast to the closely contested candidate races, there is strong majority support for one ballot issue: primary reform. Proposition 14 would change the primary process so that the top two vote-gettersregardless of party-would advance to the general election. Among likely voters, 60 percent support Proposition 14, 27 percent oppose it, and 13 percent are undecided. Support is up 4 points from March.

Likely voters were asked whether it is important to them that voters be able to choose any candidate, regardless of party. A large majority (81\%) say it is very important (51\%) or somewhat important (30\%).

A solid majority of likely voters also think either major changes (36\%) or minor ones (35\%) should be made to the primary system, with 23 percent saying the system is fine as it is.

## NOVEMBER MATCHUPS: BROWN EDGES AHEAD OF WHITMAN, STILL LEADS POIZNER

Looking ahead to a potential matchup in the general election, Democrat Jerry Brown has a slim lead over Republican Whitman among likely voters (42\% to 37\%), with 21 percent undecided. Whitman led Brown by a similar margin in March (44\% Whitman, 39\% Brown), while Brown was ahead in January (41\% Brown, $36 \%$ Whitman). Strong majorities of Democrats support Brown (70\%) and Republicans support Whitman (69\%), with independents split (38\% Brown, 34\% Whitman, $28 \%$ undecided).

Brown leads in a matchup with Poizner (45\% to 32\%), with 23 percent undecided. Brown led by similar margins the last three times PPIC asked this question. Brown has strong support among Democrats (74\%) and Poizner has strong support among Republicans (65\%). Independents prefer Brown (40\% to 27\%), although a third (33\%) are undecided.

## BOXER REGAINS LEAD IN MATCHUPS WITH FIORINA, CAMPBELL

Incumbent Democratic Senator Barbara Boxer leads each of the potential Republican senate nominees in hypothetical matchups. She is ahead of Campbell 46 percent to 40 percent. Both Boxer and Campbell maintain strong partisan support: 77 percent of Democratic likely voters prefer Boxer and 79 percent of Republicans support Campbell. But independents' preferences have shifted (January: 42\% Boxer, 37\% Campbell; March: 32\% Boxer, 48\% Campbell). Today they prefer Boxer by 13 points (48\% to 35\%).

Boxer leads Fiorina 48 percent to 39 percent. Partisans continue to strongly prefer their party's candidate ( $82 \%$ of Democrats support Boxer, $78 \%$ of Republicans support Fiorina), while independents have shifted back into Boxer's corner (44\% Boxer, 33\% Fiorina); they preferred Fiorina in March (January: 48\% Boxer, 40\% Fiorina; March: 35\% Boxer, 41\% Fiorina).

In results that have been similar since January, Boxer leads DeVore (50\% to 39\%) in a November matchup and has the support of just under half of independents (48\%).

How do likely voters feel about the way Boxer is handling her job? Half (50\%) approve, similar to January. Democrats (77\%) and independents (53\%) approve, while Republicans overwhelmingly disapprove (79\%). Boxer's approval rating is similar to that of Senator Dianne Feinstein (53\%), who is not up for re-election.

## LEGALIZE MARIJUANA? CALIFORNIANS ARE DIVIDED

Voters will also make the choice in November of whether to legalize marijuana and allow it to be regulated and taxed. They are divided about legalization, with 49 percent of likely voters in favor of this change in the law and 48 percent opposed. Results among all adults were similar: 48 percent favor legalization, and 49 percent are opposed. There are stark differences across political and demographic groups:

- Majorities of Democrats (56\%) and independents (55\%) favor legalization. Thirty-four percent of Republicans are in favor.
- Most San Francisco Bay Area residents (56\%) are in favor. Residents in other regions are either divided or opposed.
- Most Latinos (62\%) oppose legalization. A majority of whites (56\%) are in favor.
- Men (54\%) are more likely to be in favor. Less than half (42\%) of women favor legalization.
- Support for legalization decreases with age. 56 percent of adults aged 18-34 are in favor compared to 42 percent aged 55 and older.

When asked about use of marijuana for medical purposes-an issue in cities where there have been disputes about dispensaries-76 percent say it should be allowed, with strong majorities of Democrats (82\%), independents (80\%), and Republicans (68\%) holding this view.

## THE BUDGET: RESIDENTS AGREE IT'S A PROBLEM, DISAGREE ABOUT SOLUTION

With the state facing a $\$ 19$ billion budget deficit, a record-high 81 percent of Californians say the state budget situation is a big problem. But they are divided—as they were in March—on how to fill the budget gap: 42 percent prefer doing so through a mix of spending cuts and tax increases, while 39 percent would rely mainly on spending cuts. Far fewer would fill the gap mostly through tax increases (7\%) or feel it is fine to borrow money and run a deficit (6\%).

Residents are also divided over Schwarzenegger's May budget revision for the next fiscal year, which proposes big cuts in health and human services, as well as cutting spending for prisons and state employee compensation. The governor says his plan will maintain spending levels for $\mathrm{K}-12$ education and increase funding for higher education. The plan includes no new taxes. After reading a brief description of the plan to 829 survey respondents, PPIC finds that 46 percent of Californians are satisfied with the plan and 43 percent are dissatisfied. Most Californians are concerned (40\% very concerned, $40 \%$ somewhat concerned) about the impact of spending cuts in the governor's plan. Yet they are divided ( $46 \%$ yes, $49 \%$ no) about whether tax increases should be included.

Of the four main spending categories of the state budget, Californians are the most willing to consider a tax increase to spare K-12 education from budget cuts (69\%), while just over half would pay higher taxes to maintain current funding levels for higher education (54\%) or for health and human services (54\%). A large majority (79\%) opposes paying higher taxes to spare prisons and corrections from budget cuts.

Californians would consider some other ways to raise revenues: 67 percent favor raising the top rate of the state income tax paid by the wealthiest Californians and 58 percent would favor raising state taxes paid by California corporations. Residents are much less likely to support extending the state sales tax to services that are not currently taxed (35\%) or increasing the vehicle license fee (28\%).

## HALF FAVOR LOWERING THRESHOLD FOR BUDGET PASSAGE TO SIMPLE MAJORITY

A number of reforms are being proposed to improve state government. One of the most discussed is lowering the supermajority vote requirement to pass a state budget to a simple majority. Half (51\%) of Californians say it would a good idea to lower the threshold for budget passage and keep the supermajority requirement for passing state taxes. Less than half (47\%) favor lowering the two-thirds vote requirement to a simple majority for both the state budget and state taxes.

## MORE KEY FINDINGS

- Governor's job approval rating sinks to new record, federal officials fare better-pages 8, 9

Schwarzenegger's rating drops (23\%), the legislature's (16\%) is near its lowest point—and a recordhigh 73 percent say the two will be unable to work together and accomplish a lot this year.

- Rains don't diminish importance of water bond-page 14

Months of above-average rainfall have not changed overall perceptions of the state's water situation: Forty-two percent say the water supply in their part of the state is a big problem. Most say passage of an $\$ 11.1$ billion water bond is very (42\%) or somewhat (28\%) important.

- Reform ideas get strong support—page 22

Strong majorities support the idea of requiring the legislature to practice pay-as-you-go budgeting (78\%), develop a two-year spending plan (77\%), and forfeit pay and per-day allowance when the state budget is late (75\%).

## 2010 ELECTION CONTEXT

## KEY FINDINGS

- Californians' mood of gloom continues: Majorities say the state is headed in the wrong direction, is in a serious recession, and can expect bad economic times ahead. Half name jobs and the economy as the most important issue facing the state. (page 7)
- The governor's approval rating has reached a new low and legislative ratings remain near record lows. President Obama and Congress fare better, but the president has much higher ratings than does Congress. Senators Boxer and Feinstein both garner approval of half of Californians. (pages 8, 9)
- In the gubernatorial primary, Meg Whitman's 50-point lead over Steve Poizner in March has dropped to 9 points today among Republican primary likely voters. In the Republican senate primary, Tom Campbell and Carly Fiorina remain deadlocked while Chuck DeVore has gained support. (page 10)
- Proposition 14 , which would change the primary election process, enjoys the support of six in 10 likely voters. (page 11)
- In potential fall matchups in the governor's race, Democrat Jerry Brown has a 5-point lead over Meg Whitman and leads Steve Poizner by 13 points. In the senate contest, Barbara Boxer leads Tom Campbell, Carly Fiorina, and Chuck DeVore. (pages 12, 13)
- Looking ahead to November election issues, four in 10 Californians say it is very important that voters pass an $\$ 11.1$ billion water bond. Californians are divided on whether marijuana should be legalized but strong majorities think it should be allowed for medical purposes.
(pages 14, 15)

Approval Ratings of State Elected Officials


Republican Gubernatorial Primary


Republican Senatorial Primary


## OVERALL MOOD

With a 12.6 percent unemployment rate in the state, California residents continue to cite jobs and the economy (53\%) as the most important issue Californians face today. Far fewer mention the state budget (15\%), education and schools (10\%), immigration (9\%), or healthcare (3\%). Mention of the state budget has increased 4 points since March, and is similar to last May (14\%). Adults today are somewhat more likely to say immigration is the most important issue (3\% March, $9 \%$ today), and the share citing education is similar to March ( $12 \%$ March, $10 \%$ today). The percentage naming jobs and economy has decreased 4 points since March (57\%), and is similar to last May (54\%). Jobs and the economy continues to top the list of concerns across parties, regions, and demographic groups.
"Thinking about the state as a whole, what do you think is the most important issue facing people in California today?"

| Top five issues mentioned | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| Jobs, economy | 53\% | 55\% | 42\% | 57\% | 51\% |
| State budget, deficit, taxes | 15 | 13 | 24 | 15 | 19 |
| Education, schools | 10 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| Immigration, illegal immigration | 9 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 9 |
| Health care, health costs | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |

Nine in 10 Californians say the state is in an economic recession, with 55 percent calling it a serious recession, 28 percent calling it a moderate recession, and 7 percent a mild one; 9 percent say the state is not in a recession. Across parties, a majority of Republicans (62\%) and Democrats (55\%) call the recession serious, with fewer than half of independents (47\%) holding this view. Whites (59\%) are more likely than Latinos (47\%) to say the state is in a serious recession. More than half across regions call the recession serious.

Pessimism about the state's economic future continues: two in three adults say bad financial times lie ahead over the next year. Across parties, Republicans (76\%) are most likely to say bad times are ahead, followed by independents (65\%) and Democrats (64\%). Regionally, residents of the Central Valley (66\%), Other Southern California region (66\%), and Los Angeles (64\%) hold similar views about bad economic times ahead, with San Francisco Bay Area residents slightly less pessimistic (59\%). Whites (71\%) are far more likely than Latinos (49\%) to predict bad times. Those with annual household incomes under $\$ 40,000$ are much less likely (55\%) to have a negative outlook than those with incomes of \$80,000 or more (74\%). The expectation of bad times ahead increases as age and education rise.

Asked about the direction of the state, adults reiterate negative views: 77 percent say it is heading in the wrong direction. At least two-thirds across party, region, and demographic groups hold this view.
"Turning to economic conditions in California, do you think that during the next 12 months we will have good times financially or bad times?"

|  | All Adults | Region |  |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Central Valley | San Francisco Bay Area | Los Angeles | Other Southern California |  |
| Good times | 28\% | 24\% | 29\% | 29\% | 28\% | 22\% |
| Bad times | 65 | 66 | 59 | 64 | 66 | 71 |
| Don't know | 7 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 7 |

## GOVERNOR AND LEGISLATURE

Accompanying Californians' negative view of the state's economic situation are low approval ratings for state leaders. Governor Schwarzenegger's 23-percent approval rating is a new record low, and his disapproval score reaches a new record high (65\%). Approval for the governor last May was 11 points higher (34\%). Democrats (73\%) are the most likely to disapprove of the governor, and six in 10 in his own party disapprove (63\%). Sixty-two percent of independents disapprove. More than six in 10 across regions disapprove of the governor: Residents of Los Angeles (68\%) are most likely to disapprove, and San Francisco Bay Area (61\%) residents least likely. Latinos (74\%) give the governor the highest disapproval rating across demographic groups; 61 percent of whites disapprove. Seven in 10 who think the state is headed in the wrong direction or that bad economic times are ahead also disapprove of the governor.

The state legislature fares even worse, with seven in 10 residents disapproving its job performance and only 16 percent approving, near the record low of 14 percent reached in March. Likely voters (80\%) are even more negative about the legislature. An overwhelming percentage of Republicans (85\%) disapprove as do three in four Democrats (73\%) and independents (74\%). At least seven in 10 across regions disapprove. Latinos (61\%) are far less likely than whites (78\%) to disapprove. Adults aged 18-34 (59\%) are far less likely to disapprove than those aged 55 and older (79\%). Majorities across demographic groups disapprove of the legislature's job performance.
"Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that..."

|  |  | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| ...Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor of California? | Approve | 23\% | 19\% | 26\% | 24\% | 24\% |
|  | Disapprove | 65 | 73 | 63 | 62 | 66 |
|  | Don't know | 12 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 10 |
| ...the California Legislature is handling its job? | Approve | 16 | 16 | 5 | 16 | 11 |
|  | Disapprove | 72 | 73 | 85 | 74 | 80 |
|  | Don't know | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 |

Low approval ratings for state leaders are reflected in a general perception that the governor and legislature will not be able to work together and accomplish much this year. As the 2010-2011 budget negotiations loom, a record low 19 percent say the two sides will accomplish a lot, and a record high 73 percent say they won't. The perception that the governor and legislature will not work together has increased 8 points since January and 20 points since January 2009. More than six in 10 across political and demographic groups do not believe they will be able to work together to accomplish a lot this year.
"Do you think that Governor Schwarzenegger and the state legislature will be able to work together and accomplish a lot this year, or not?"

|  |  | All Adults |  | Party |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Likely Voters |  |
| Yes, will be able to work together | $19 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| No, will not be able to work together | 73 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 80 |
| Don't know | 8 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 6 |

## FEDERAL ELECTED OFFICIALS

Californians rate federal leaders much higher than their state leaders. A majority of Californians (59\%) approve of President Obama's job performance, similar to March, but a 13-point drop since May 2009. According to an NBC/Wall Street Journal poll, Californians continue to approve of Obama more than do adults nationwide (50\%). There are sharp partisan differences: Eight in 10 Democrats and six in 10 independents approve of the president, while three in four Republicans do not. Other Southern California residents (47\%) are the least likely and Los Angeles residents (70\%) the most likely to approve. Whites are divided in their assessments of Obama, but majorities across all other demographic groups approve.

With Congressional elections coming in November, 31 percent of Californians approve of Congress' job performance—far lower than Obama's approval, but higher than adults nationwide (21\%), according to the NBC/Wall Street Journal poll. Congress' ratings have increased since March (24\%), but are down 16 points since last May. Eight in 10 Republicans and two in three independents disapprove of Congress' job performance, compared to 53 percent of Democrats. Forty-four percent of Latinos disapprove of Congress compared to 73 percent of whites. Approval decreases as age, education, and income rise.
"Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that..."

|  |  |  |  | Party |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Democratic Senator Barbara Boxer, up for re-election this fall, has a 50-percent approval rating among California adults and likely voters, similar to January. Democrats (77\%) and independents (53\%) approve of her job performance, while Republicans overwhelmingly do not (13\%). Liberals (73\%), Latinos (60\%), and women (53\%) are more likely than conservatives (29\%), whites (42\%), and men (46\%) to approve.

Half of adults and likely voters approve of Democratic Senator Dianne Feinstein, who is not up for reelection this fall. Seven in 10 Democrats approve compared to half of independents and 23 percent of Republicans. Approval of Feinstein varies widely across regions, with approval lowest in the Other Southern California region (40\%), and highest in the San Francisco Bay Area (62\%).
"Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that..."

|  |  |  |  | Party |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## JUNE PRIMARY

With the June primaries less than a month away, the race for the Republican gubernatorial nomination has significantly tightened. Although Meg Whitman (38\%) still leads Steve Poizner (29\%) among Republican primary likely voters, there has been a stunning drop in her support since March. In January, Whitman led Poizner by 30 points ( $41 \%$ to $11 \%$ ) and in March by 50 points ( $61 \%$ to $11 \%$ ). Today, three in 10 voters are undecided, up 6 points since March, but far lower than in January (44\%). Republican primary likely voters include the 12 percent of independent (decline-to-state) voters who say they will vote a Republican ballot. Decline-to-state voters may also choose a Democratic or nonpartisan ballot.

Whitman has seen a large drop in support among those who are not college graduates (down 29 points) and those with annual household incomes of $\$ 80,000$ and above (down 28 points). Her support has also dropped sharply among both men (61\% March, $41 \%$ today) and women ( $61 \%$ March, $36 \%$ today). Across demographic groups, support for Whitman has fallen at least 17 points, while Poizner's support has increased sharply. Despite this drop in support, however, a plurality across demographic groups would still vote for Whitman.

> "If the Republican primary for governor were being held today, and these were the candidates, who would you vote for?"

| Republican primary <br> likely voters only | All Likely | Household Income |  | Gender |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under <br> $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ <br> or more | Men | Women |
|  | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Steve Poizner | 29 | 25 | 35 | 29 | 29 |
| Someone else | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Don't know | 31 | 35 | 24 | 27 | 34 |

The June Republican senatorial primary race remains close: Carly Fiorina (25\%) and Tom Campbell (23\%) are still in a dead heat, while Chuck DeVore's support has doubled ( $8 \%$ March, $16 \%$ today). Thirty-six percent of Republican primary likely voters remain undecided. Fiorina (29\%) and Campbell (25\%) hold similar levels of support among men, with 29 percent undecided. Support for Fiorina (21\%) and Campbell (20\%) is similar among women, with 44 percent of women still undecided. Fewer than three in 10 across income groups support any candidate, with pluralities undecided. All three candidates also hold similar levels of support among those aged 18-54 (22\% Fiorina, 21\% Campbell, $20 \%$ DeVore). Primary voters 55 and older support Fiorina (29\%) or Campbell (25\%) far more than DeVore (10\%), with 35 percent undecided.
"If the Republican primary for U.S. senator were being held today, and these were the candidates, who would you vote for?"

| Republican primary <br> likely voters only | All Likely <br> Voters | Household Income |  | Gender |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under <br> $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ <br> or more | Men | Women |  |
| Carly Fiorina | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $21 \%$ |  |
| Tom Campbell | 23 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 20 |  |
| Chuck DeVore | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 14 |  |
| Someone else | - | - | 1 | - | 29 | 44 |
| Don't know | 36 | 38 | 33 | 29 |  |  |

## PROPOSITION 14—CHANGE IN PRIMARY ELECTIONS

Proposition 14-a state constitutional amendment on the June ballot-would change the California primary election process to a top-two-vote-getter system. It would allow voters to choose any candidate regardless of a candidate's or voter's political party. It would ensure that the two candidates receiving the most votes in the primary appear on the general election ballot, regardless of party. A strong majority of likely voters (60\%) support this change, with 27 percent saying they would vote no and 13 percent undecided; support has risen 4 points since March. Majorities across parties support Proposition 14, with independents the most likely to say they would vote yes. Moderates (69\%) are much more likely than liberals (59\%) and conservatives (53\%) to say they will vote yes; support among conservatives is similar to March, while support today is higher among liberals and moderates.
"Proposition 14 is called 'Elections. Increases Right to Participate in Primary Elections...' If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 14?"*

| Likely voters only |  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Likely Voters |  | $60 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Party | Democrats | 61 | 26 | 13 |
|  | Republicans | 54 | 33 | 13 |
|  | Independents | Liberals | 67 | 19 |
|  | Moderates | 59 | 27 | 14 |
|  | Conservatives | 69 | 18 | 13 |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | 63 | 27 | 13 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | 61 | 26 | 13 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 5}$ and older | 55 | 27 | 18 |

*For complete text of proposition question, see p. 29.

Eighty-one percent of likely voters say the issue of allowing voters to choose any candidate, regardless of party, is very ( $51 \%$ ) or somewhat ( $30 \%$ ) important. More than half of independents ( $54 \%$ ) say this issue is very important-as do half of Republicans (49\%) and Democrats (52\%), and six in 10 Proposition 14 supporters (62\%).

A solid majority of likely voters think either major (36\%) or minor changes (35\%) should be made to the primary system in California; 23 percent say it needs no changes. Independents (46\%) are most likely to say major changes are needed, followed by Democrats (35\%) and Republicans (33\%). Forty-two percent of moderates, 36 percent of conservatives, and 29 percent of liberals say major changes. A strong majority of likely voters who support Proposition 14 say major ( $45 \%$ ) or minor ( $40 \%$ ) changes are needed.
"Do you think the primary system in California is in need of major changes, minor changes, or is it fine the way it is?"

| Likely voters only | All Likely Voters | Party |  |  | Vote on Proposition 14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Yes | No |
| Major changes | 36\% | 35\% | 33\% | 46\% | 45\% | 18\% |
| Minor changes | 35 | 38 | 31 | 37 | 40 | 30 |
| Fine the way it is | 23 | 23 | 28 | 14 | 11 | 47 |
| Don't know | 6 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## NOVEMBER GUBERNATORIAL MATCHUPS

In a potential November gubernatorial matchup, Democrat Jerry Brown has a narrow lead over Republican Meg Whitman among likely voters ( $42 \%$ to $37 \%$ ), with one in five undecided. Whitman led Brown by a similar margin in March (39\% Brown, 44\% Whitman), but Brown led Whitman in January (Brown 41\%, Whitman 36\%) and December (43\% Brown, 37\% Whitman). Today, Democrats strongly support Brown (70\%) and Republicans strongly support Whitman (69\%), while independents are divided (38\% Brown, $34 \%$ Whitman). Independents are more likely than Democrats or Republicans to be undecided (14\% Democrats, 21\% Republicans, 28\% independents).

Across regions, likely voters in the San Francisco Bay Area (63\%) are the most likely to support Brown, followed by voters in Los Angeles (48\%). Likely voters in the Other Southern California (47\%) region are the most likely to support Whitman, followed by Central Valley voters (42\% Whitman, 35\% Brown). Latinos support Brown over Whitman by more than 2 to 1 ( $58 \%$ to $26 \%$ ), while whites are more likely to support Whitman over Brown ( $43 \%$ to $38 \%$ ). Among women, Brown is favored by 12 points-he was up by 3 points in March—and although men are divided, they preferred Whitman by 15 points in March. Likely voters aged 18 to 34 favor Brown by a slight 5 points ( $42 \%$ to $37 \%$ ), while voters aged 55 and older favor Brown by 8 points ( $44 \%$ to $36 \%$ ).
"If these were the candidates in the November 2010 governor's election, would you vote for..."

| Likely voters only | All Likely Voters | Party |  |  | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Men | Women |
| Jerry Brown, the Democrat | 42\% | 70\% | 10\% | 38\% | 40\% | 45\% |
| Meg Whitman, the Republican | 37 | 16 | 69 | 34 | 42 | 33 |
| Don't know | 21 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 18 | 22 |

Brown continues to lead Steve Poizner in a hypothetical November matchup (45\% to 32\%) and held similar leads each of the last three times we asked this question. About one in four continue to be undecided. Brown enjoys the support of about three in four Democrats (74\%), while Poizner has the support of about two in three Republicans (65\%). Independents prefer Brown to Poizner ( $40 \%$ to $27 \%$ ) and are more likely to be undecided (16\% Democrats, 23\% Republicans, 33\% independents).

Brown leads among likely voters in the San Francisco Bay Area (65\% to 17\%) and in Los Angeles (49\% to 26\%), while likely voters in the Other Southern California region prefer Poizner ( $45 \%$ to $33 \%$ for Brown). Central Valley voters are divided (38\% Poizner, 34\% Brown). Latinos overwhelmingly support Brown over Poizner (64\% to 13\%), while whites are divided (40\% Brown, 39\% Poizner). Brown enjoys a 20-point lead among women (47\% to 27\%), and men slightly prefer Brown (42\% Brown, 37\% Poizner).
"If these were the candidates in the November 2010 governor's election, would you vote for..."

| Likely voters only | All Likely Voters | Party |  |  | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Men | Women |
| Jerry Brown, the Democrat | 45\% | 74\% | 12\% | 40\% | 42\% | 47\% |
| Steve Poizner, the Republican | 32 | 10 | 65 | 27 | 37 | 27 |
| Don't know | 23 | 16 | 23 | 33 | 21 | 26 |

Two in three likely voters say they are very (21\%) or fairly closely (46\%) following news about the candidates. This is similar to March, but much higher than in January. Attention today is similar to the 68 percent who were closely following news in May 2006, just before the June gubernatorial primary.

## NOVEMBER SENATORIAL MATCHUPS

Incumbent Democratic Senator Barbara Boxer leads any of the three potential Republican nominees in hypothetical November matchups for her senate seat. Boxer leads Tom Campbell ( $46 \%$ to $40 \%$ ), with 77 percent of Democrats supporting Boxer and 79 percent of Republicans supporting Campbell. Independents prefer Boxer over Campbell by 13 points ( $48 \%$ to $35 \%$ ). While partisan support has held steady, support among independents has shifted since January (January: 42\% Boxer, 37\% Campbell; March: 32\% Boxer, 48\% Campbell; today: 48\% Boxer, 35\% Campbell). Today, Boxer is preferred by two in three Latinos and half of women, while Campbell is preferred among whites ( $48 \%$ to $40 \%$ for Boxer) and men are divided (44\% Campbell, 42\% Boxer). Boxer leads by 32 points in the San Francisco Bay Area and by 24 points in Los Angeles, while Campbell has a 16-point lead in the Other Southern California region and a 12-point lead in the Central Valley.
"If these were the candidates in the November 2010 U.S. senator's election, would you vote for..."

| Likely voters only | All Likely Voters | Party |  |  | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Men | Women |
| Barbara Boxer, the Democrat | 46\% | 77\% | 8\% | 48\% | 42\% | 51\% |
| Tom Campbell, the Republican | 40 | 14 | 79 | 35 | 44 | 37 |
| Don't know | 14 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 12 |

In another possible November matchup, Boxer leads Carly Fiorina (48\% to 39\%). Partisans strongly support their party's candidate ( $82 \%$ of Democrats support Boxer, $78 \%$ of Republicans support Fiorina). Independents have shifted back into Boxer's corner after moving toward Fiorina in March (January: 48\% Boxer, 40\% Fiorina; March: 35\% Boxer, 41\% Fiorina; Today: 44\% Boxer, 33\% Fiorina). Boxer leads in the San Francisco Bay Area (68\%) and Los Angeles (58\%) and among Latinos (67\%) and women (53\%). Fiorina leads in the Other Southern California region (52\%) and the Central Valley (49\%) and has a slight lead among whites (46\% Fiorina to $41 \%$ Boxer). Men are divided (44\% each).
"If these were the candidates in the November 2010 U.S. senator's election, would you vote for..."

| Likely voters only | All Likely Voters | Party |  |  | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Men | Women |
| Barbara Boxer, the Democrat | 48\% | 82\% | 9\% | 44\% | 44\% | 53\% |
| Carly Fiorina, the Republican | 39 | 11 | 78 | 33 | 44 | 34 |
| Don't know | 13 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 13 |

Boxer continues to lead Chuck DeVore in a potential November matchup ( $50 \%$ to $39 \%$ ), and enjoys the support of more than eight in 10 Democrats (84\%) and just under half of independents (48\%); eight in 10 Republicans support DeVore. Boxer leads among Latinos (71\%) and women (55\%), while DeVore has a slight lead among whites (47\% DeVore, 42\% Boxer). Men are divided (45\% Boxer, 43\% DeVore).
"If these were the candidates in the November 2010 U.S. senator's election, would you vote for..."

| Likely voters only | All Likely Voters | Party |  |  | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Men | Women |
| Barbara Boxer, the Democrat | 50\% | 84\% | 9\% | 48\% | 45\% | 55\% |
| Chuck DeVore, the Republican | 39 | 9 | 80 | 35 | 43 | 34 |
| Don't know | 11 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 11 |

## NOVEMBER BALLOT ISSUES—WATER POLICY

After experiencing several years of drought but above-average rainfall recently, four in 10 Californians say the water supply in their part of the state is a big problem, with 27 percent calling it somewhat of a problem and 29 percent not much of a problem. Despite the above-average rainfall of late, perceptions today are largely unchanged from December ( $44 \%$ big, $29 \%$ somewhat, $25 \%$ not much of a problem). Since December the perception that water supply is a big problem has dropped 4 points in the Central Valley (50\% to 46\%) and 5 points in Los Angeles ( $45 \%$ to $40 \%$ ). It is similar in the San Francisco Bay Area (32\% to 31\%) and Other Southern California region (47\% to 46\%).

Across parties, the view that the water supply is a big problem is largely unchanged from December50 percent among Republicans (50\% December), 46 percent among Democrats ( $48 \%$ December), and 40 percent among independents (41\% December). Whites are much more likely than Latinos (47\% to 37\%) to say their region's water supply is a big problem.

|  | All Adults | Region |  |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Central Valley | San Francisco Bay Area | Los Angeles | Other Southern California |  |
| Big problem | 42\% | 46\% | 31\% | 40\% | 46\% | 48\% |
| Somewhat of a problem | 27 | 23 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 28 |
| Not much of a problem | 29 | 29 | 37 | 30 | 23 | 23 |
| Don't know | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Last October the governor called a special session to address the state's water crisis; the result was a legislative package that included a proposal for an $\$ 11.1$ billion bond measure dedicated to water projects. Asked about the importance of passing the bond measure, four in 10 residents (42\%) say it is very important (down from $47 \%$ in December) and 28 percent say it is somewhat important. Democrats are more likely than Republicans and independents to consider passage of the water bond very important, but the shares in both parties have declined since December (52\% to 47\% Democrats; $37 \%$ to $26 \%$ Republicans). Views among independents rose 4 points (from $36 \%$ to $40 \%$ today). Passing the water bond is considered more important in the Central Valley (47\%, similar to December) and Los Angeles (46\%, down 8 points) than in the San Francisco Bay Area (39\%, down 8 points) and Other Southern California region (38\%, down 5 points). Just over half of those who call their area's water supply a big problem say passing the bond is very important (54\%).

|  | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| Very important | 42\% | 47\% | 26\% | 40\% | 38\% |
| Somewhat important | 28 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 28 |
| Not too important | 9 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 10 |
| Not at all important | 11 | 6 | 20 | 14 | 14 |
| Don't know | 10 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 10 |

## NOVEMBER BALLOT ISSUES—MARIJUANA POLICY

Another measure on the November ballot is one legalizing marijuana and allowing it to be regulated and taxed. Forty-eight percent of adults and 49 percent of likely voters think marijuana should be made legal. According to a recent Pew Research Center poll, Americans nationwide (41\% legal, 52\% illegal) are somewhat less likely to agree with Californians on this issue. Democrats (56\%) and independents (55\%) are far more likely than Republicans (34\%) to say marijuana should be legal. Residents in the San Francisco Bay Area (56\%) are the most likely to say it should be legal, with residents in other regions either divided or opposed (Other Southern California region: 42\% legal, 55\% illegal; Central Valley: 47\% legal, 49\% illegal; Los Angeles: 49\% legal, 50\% illegal). Strong majorities of Latinos (62\%) are against legalization, while majorities of whites (56\%) think it should be legal. Men (54\%) are much more likely than women (42\%) to say marijuana should be made legal. Support for legalization decreases as age increases.
"A November ballot initiative is titled, 'Changes California law to legalize marijuana and allow it to be regulated and taxed.' In general, do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal, or not?"

|  |  | Should be made legal | Should not be made legal | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All adults |  | 48\% | 49\% | 3\% |
| Party | Democrats | 56 | 42 | 2 |
|  | Republicans | 34 | 62 | 4 |
|  | Independents | 55 | 43 | 2 |
| Age | 18-34 | 56 | 41 | 3 |
|  | 35-54 | 47 | 50 | 3 |
|  | 55 and older | 42 | 54 | 4 |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Latinos | 37 | 62 | 1 |
|  | Whites | 56 | 40 | 4 |
| Gender | Men | 54 | 43 | 3 |
|  | Women | 42 | 54 | 4 |
| Likely voters |  | 49 | 48 | 3 |

When asked about the use of marijuana for medical purposes (currently legal in California), three in four Californians—including strong majorities of Democrats, Republicans, and independents-think it should be allowed. More than six in 10 across regions and demographic groups think that adults should be allowed to legally use marijuana for medical purposes. Results were somewhat similar when we asked this question in September 2005, when 71 percent supported medical use of marijuana. In a similar question from Pew, 73 percent of adults nationwide favor allowing marijuana use for medical purposes.

|  | "Regardless of what you think about the personal non-medical uses of marijuana, do you <br> think adults should be allowed to legally use marijuana for medical purposes if their doctors <br> prescribe it or do you think that marijuana should be illegal even for medical purposes?" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## CALIFORNIA STATE BUDGET

## KEY FINDINGS

- A record high percentage of Californians view the state budget situation as a big problem. Californians are divided about using spending cuts alone to deal with the deficit (39\%) or using a mix of spending cuts and tax increases (42\%). (page 17)
- Californians prefer state budget decisions to be made by the Democrats in the legislature (35\%), followed by the Republicans in the legislature (25\%). A record low 11 percent prefer Governor Schwarzenegger's approach. Most Californians continue to say they most want to protect $\mathrm{K}-12$ public education from spending cuts. (page 18)
- Most Californians would pay higher taxes to maintain current funding for $\mathrm{K}-12$ public education. Just over half would do so for higher education and for health and human services. At least half of likely voters favor raising taxes on the wealthy and corporations, although support for these new revenue options has declined. Most likely voters oppose extending the state sales tax or increasing the vehicle license fee. (pages 19, 20)
- Californians are divided (46\% satisfied, $43 \%$ dissatisfied) about the governor's budget proposal, which was released May 14. Forty percent are very concerned about the effects of the spending reductions in his plan. Voters are divided along party lines about whether the proposal should include tax increases. (page 21)
- Half of likely voters say it is a good idea to lower the supermajority vote threshold to a simple majority to pass a state budget and to keep the two-thirds vote to pass state taxes; several other fiscal reforms enjoy stronger support. (page 23)


## Budget Situation in California



Raising the State Taxes Paid by Corporations


Fiscal Reforms

| Simple Majority Vote for | Simple Majority Vote for |
| :---: | :---: |
| State Budget, 2/3 Vote | Both State Budget and |
| for State Taxes | State Taxes |

Likely voters


## APPROACHING THE STATE BUDGET GAP

In the midst of a continued economic downturn and with the state facing a $\$ 19$ billion budget deficit, how do Californians perceive the state budget situation? A record high 81 percent of Californians say the state budget situation is a big problem, and another 15 percent say it is somewhat of a problem. The current percentage calling the budget a big problem is similar to that in March (77\%). At least seven in 10 Californians have called the state budget situation a big problem since August 2008. Today, likely voters are even more negative, with nearly nine in 10 calling the budget situation a big problem.

Republicans ( $90 \%$ ), Democrats ( $84 \%$ ), and independents ( $83 \%$ ) all agree that the state budget situation is a big problem. More than three in four across regions say that the budget situation is a big problem, as do two in three Latinos (67\%), nearly nine in 10 whites ( $88 \%$ ), and eight in 10 men and women ( $81 \%$ each). At least seven in 10 across age, education, and income groups say the situation is a big problem.

> "Do you think the state budget situation in California-that is, the balance between government spending and revenues-is a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem for the people of California today?"

|  | All Adults |  | Party | Likely Voters |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | $88 \%$ |
| Big problem | $81 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $83 \%$ | 11 |
| Somewhat of a problem | 15 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 1 |
| Not a problem | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Don't know | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 |

Nearly all Californians call the budget situation a big problem—so how would they like to deal with it? Four in 10 Californians prefer handling the state's budget gap through a mix of spending cuts and tax increases (42\%). Similarly, four in 10 prefer closing the budget gap mostly through spending cuts (39\%). Far fewer say mostly through tax increases (7\%) or that it is okay to borrow money and run a deficit (6\%). These findings are similar to those in March (38\% mix, 39\% cuts). About half of Democrats (52\%) prefer a mix, about six in 10 Republicans (63\%) prefer spending cuts, and independents are divided between spending cuts (42\%) and a mix of spending cuts and tax increases (44\%). Across regions, residents in the San Francisco Bay Area (48\%) and Los Angeles (43\%) are more likely to prefer a mix, while residents in the Central Valley ( $45 \%$ ) and the Other Southern California region ( $45 \%$ ) are more likely to prefer spending cuts. Latinos ( $39 \%$ mix, $36 \%$ cuts) and whites ( $43 \%$ mix, $43 \%$ cuts) are both divided between the two approaches, but Latinos are more likely than whites to say it is okay to borrow money and run a deficit ( $12 \%$ to $2 \%$ ).
"How would you prefer to deal with the state's budget gap-mostly through spending cuts, mostly through tax increases, through a mix of spending cuts and tax increases, or do you think that it is okay for the state to borrow money and run a budget deficit?"

|  | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| Mix of spending cuts and tax increases | 42\% | 52\% | 27\% | 44\% | 42\% |
| Mostly spending cuts | 39 | 26 | 63 | 42 | 41 |
| Mostly tax increases | 7 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Okay to borrow money and run a deficit | 6 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Other | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Don't know | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

## APPROACHING THE STATE BUDGET GAP (CONTINUED)

When it comes to making tough budget decisions, about one in three Californians prefer the approach of the Democrats in the state legislature, while about one in four prefer the approach of the legislative Republicans. A record low-11 percent-prefer Governor Schwarzenegger's approach. In January 2004, just after Governor Schwarzenegger took office, a plurality of Californians (33\%) preferred his approach. Since then, pluralities (although never more than 39 percent) have chosen legislative Democrats as the group they prefer to make tough budget choices. Today, likely voters slightly prefer legislative Democrats.

Most Democrats prefer legislators from their own party to make budget decisions, and most Republicans prefer legislators from their party. There is less consensus among independents, but a plurality prefer legislative Democrats (31\%) on this issue. San Francisco Bay Area and Los Angeles residents prefer the approach of legislative Democrats, Other Southern California residents prefer that of legislative Republicans, and Central Valley residents are divided between the two. Among those who disapprove of the legislature, six in 10 would still choose legislators (Democratic or Republican) over the governor to make tough budget decisions.

|  | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| Democrats' in the legislature | 35\% | 60\% | 6\% | 31\% | 34\% |
| Republicans' in the legislature | 25 | 8 | 56 | 24 | 29 |
| Governor Schwarzenegger's | 11 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 9 |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| None (volunteered) | 10 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 11 |
| Don't know | 18 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 15 |

Majorities of Californians, likely voters, Democrats, Republicans, and independents say that of the four major areas of state spending, they would most like to protect K-12 public education from spending cuts. Fewer than 20 percent of Californians name higher education or health and human services, and just 7 percent choose prisons and corrections. Since we first asked this question in June 2003, majorities of Californians have chosen $\mathrm{K}-12$ education as the area they would most like to protect. At least half across regions and demographic groups select $\mathrm{K}-12$ education, and the percentage naming this spending area rises as education and income levels increase.
"Some of the largest areas for state spending are....Thinking about these four areas of state spending, I'd like you to name the one you most want to protect from spending cuts."

|  | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| K-12 public education | 56\% | 57\% | 55\% | 64\% | 57\% |
| Higher education | 17 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 18 |
| Health and human services | 17 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 13 |
| Prisons and corrections | 7 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 8 |
| Don't know | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 |

## WILLINGNESS TO INCREASE TAXES

Californians not only prefer to spare $\mathrm{K}-12$ public education from spending cuts but, of the four top spending areas, they are also the most willing (69\%) to consider paying higher taxes to maintain current funding for K-12 education. By comparison, just over half would do so to maintain current funding levels for higher education (54\%) or health and human services (54\%). A strong majority (79\%) would not pay higher taxes to maintain funding for prisons and corrections. At least two in three Californians expressed willingness to pay higher taxes to maintain K-12 education in June 2003 (67\%), January 2004 (67\%), January 2008 (67\%), and January 2010 (66\%). Willingness to pay higher taxes to maintain higher education or health and human services is about the same today as it was in January 2008 and January 2010. Opposition to increased taxes to maintain prisons was even higher this past January (87\%), and has declined 8 points since then.
"Tax increases could be used to help reduce the state budget deficit. For each of the following, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not. What if the state said it needed more money just to maintain current funding for...? Would you be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not?"

|  | K-12 <br> public education | Higher <br> education | Health and <br> human services | Prisons and <br> corrections |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $69 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| No | 29 | 43 | 43 | 79 |
| Don't know | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

At least half of likely voters, voters across parties, and Californians across age, racial/ethnic, gender, and regional groups would be willing to pay higher taxes to maintain K-12 funding, with Democrats, younger Californians, women, and Latinos among the most likely to say this. Of those who say they most want to protect $\mathrm{K}-12$ from cuts, 79 percent would pay more taxes to maintain current funding levels. There is less agreement on other budget areas. Half of likely voters would pay higher taxes to maintain higher education funding. Most Democrats and independents would consider paying higher taxes for this purpose, but most Republicans would not. Latinos are far more likely than whites to express support for higher education. For health and human services, half of likely voters would pay more taxes. Across parties, a strong majority of Democrats would pay higher taxes for this budget area, a strong majority of Republicans would not, and independents are evenly divided. Fewer than one in four in any political, regional, or demographic group would pay more taxes to maintain prison funding.

| Percent saying yes |  | K-12 public education | Higher education | Health and human services | Prisons and corrections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All adults |  | 69\% | 54\% | 54\% | 18\% |
| Party | Democrats | 79 | 64 | 69 | 20 |
|  | Republicans | 51 | 32 | 25 | 15 |
|  | Independents | 67 | 54 | 48 | 14 |
| Age | 18-34 | 85 | 68 | 64 | 22 |
|  | 35-54 | 67 | 52 | 54 | 16 |
|  | 55 and older | 56 | 43 | 43 | 16 |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Latinos | 81 | 71 | 72 | 17 |
|  | Whites | 63 | 44 | 44 | 17 |
| Gender | Men | 65 | 52 | 51 | 16 |
|  | Women | 74 | 56 | 56 | 20 |
| Likely voters |  | 64 | 50 | 49 | 17 |

## NEW REVENUE SOURCES

The governor's budget proposal for the next fiscal year does not include new taxes. Still, Californians would consider some other ways to raise revenues: 67 percent favor raising the top rate of the state income tax paid by the wealthiest Californians and 58 percent would favor raising state taxes paid by California corporations. Since we first asked this question in January 2004, strong majorities have expressed support for the idea of raising taxes on California's wealthiest residents. Today, six in 10 likely voters express support, which has declined somewhat over time (69\% January 2004 to $62 \%$ today). Strong majorities of Democrats and independents favor this idea, while a majority of Republicans oppose it. Majorities in all regions and demographic groups favor this idea, but support declines as income rises.

About six in 10 adults and likely voters supported raising corporate taxes in May 2005, 2007, and 2008. Today, 58 percent of all adults and 51 percent of likely voters favor this idea. Most Democrats (73\%) are in favor and most Republicans (66\%) are opposed. Independents are more likely to favor (55\%) than oppose (41\%) raising corporate taxes. Support declines as age, education, and income rise. It is highest in the San Francisco Bay Area (68\%) and lowest in the Other Southern California region (48\%).
"Tax and fee increases could be used to help reduce the state's large gap between spending and revenues. For each of the following, please say if you favor or oppose the proposal. How about..."


Californians are much less likely to support extending the state sales tax to services that are not currently taxed (35\%) or increasing the vehicle license fee (28\%). Among likely voters, 35 percent favor extending the state sales tax, similar to previous findings that included specific areas for extending the sales tax, while 32 percent favor increasing the vehicle license fee, down 10 points since May 2008.

Republicans (68\%) are more likely than independents (56\%) and Democrats (48\%) to oppose extending the state sales tax, while more than six in 10 across parties oppose increasing the vehicle license fee. Majorities of Californians across regional and demographic groups oppose both ideas, but upper-income residents and college graduates are less opposed than others to increasing the vehicle license fee.

|  |  | All Adults | Party |  |  | Likely Voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| ...extending the state sales tax to services that are not currently taxed? | Favor | 35\% | 44\% | 26\% | 37\% | 35\% |
|  | Oppose | 58 | 48 | 68 | 56 | 58 |
|  | Don't know | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| ...increasing the vehicle license fee? | Favor | 28 | 36 | 20 | 30 | 32 |
|  | Oppose | 69 | 62 | 76 | 67 | 66 |
|  | Don't know | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |

## GOVERNOR'S BUDGET PROPOSAL

On Friday May 14, Governor Schwarzenegger released his May budget revision for the next fiscal year. Starting on May 14, we asked 829 survey respondents three questions about his proposal, including a brief description of the proposal and attitudes towards spending cuts and tax increases.

To deal with the state's sizable budget deficit, the governor has proposed spending cuts in his budget plan. The plan does not include any tax increases. Californians are divided (46\% yes, $49 \% \mathrm{no}$ ) about whether tax increases should be included in the plan; 56 percent opposed tax increases in the plan in January. Today, half of likely voters (51\%) say taxes should not be included in the plan; 55 percent opposed tax increases in January. Most Democrats (56\%) and independents (57\%) say taxes should be included and most Republicans (71\%) say they should not. Men (47\% yes, 50\% no) and women (45\% yes, $48 \%$ no) are similarly divided on including tax increases. Support declines as age increases.
"Do you think that tax increases should be included in the governor's budget plan?"

|  | All Adults |  | Party |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind |  |
| Yes | $46 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| No | 49 | 40 | 71 | 36 | 51 |
| Don't know | 5 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3 |

Eight in 10 Californians are concerned about the effects of spending reductions in the governor's budget plan, with 40 percent saying they are very concerned. Concern has increased slightly since January (34\% very, 39\% somewhat concerned). Across parties, more than two in three are at least somewhat concerned, but Democrats are more likely than independents and Republicans to be very concerned. About four in 10 Latinos, whites, men, and women are very concerned. The percentage who are very concerned declines somewhat as income increases.
$\begin{array}{lllll} & \begin{array}{c}\text { "Overall, how concerned are you about the effects of } \\ \text { the spending reductions in the governor's budget plan?" }\end{array} & \\ \hline & \text { All Adults } & & \text { Party } & \\$\cline { 3 - 6 } \& \& Dem \& Rep \& Ind <br> \hline Very concerned \& $\left.40 \% & 48 \% & 31 \% & 42 \% \\ \hline \text { Voters }\end{array}\right\}$

After being read a brief description of the governor's budget proposal (see question 37 on p. 31), 46 percent of Californians and 47 percent of likely voters say they are satisfied with the plan, while about four in 10 in each group are dissatisfied ( $43 \%$ adults, $40 \%$ likely voters). After the release of the original budget proposal in January, 55 percent of Californians and 56 percent of likely voters were satisfied. Today, a majority of Republicans (55\%) are satisfied, 53 percent of Democrats are dissatisfied, and independents are more satisfied (47\%) than dissatisfied (45\%). Forty-six percent of Latinos and 47 percent of whites express satisfaction, and younger residents are much more likely to be satisfied (53\%) than those age 35 and older (42\%).

## FISCAL AND GOVERNANCE REFORMS

In March, Assembly Speaker John Pérez and Senate President pro Tem Darrell Steinberg announced that the legislature was considering a package of reform principles developed by California Forward, a nonpartisan group working to improve government. Legislative leaders recently held public forums around the state to gather input. In our survey, Californians were asked about eight fiscal and governance reform ideas, some of which are part of the package, and with others having been considered in the past.

More than three in four Californians say it is a good idea to adopt pay-as-you-go budgeting, requiring that any new programs, expanded programs, or tax reductions identify a specific funding source. A similarly high percentage believe it is a good idea for the governor and state legislature to develop a two-year spending plan along with a five-year fiscal forecast before approving the annual state budget. Three in four believe it is a good idea to require legislators to forfeit their pay and per-day allowance when the state budget is late. Three in four Californians also say it is a good idea to increase the size of the state's rainy day fund and to require that above-average revenues be deposited into this fund for use during economic downturns.

|  | "Fiscal and governance reforms have been proposed to address the structural <br> issues in the state budget. For each of the following, please say whether <br> you think the proposal is a good idea or a bad idea. How about...?"* |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pay-as-you-go <br> budgeting | Two-year <br> spending plan | Legislators forfeit pay <br> when budget is late | Increase size of state's <br> rainy day fund |
| Good idea | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Bad idea | 14 | 16 | 19 | 18 |
| Don't know | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 |

*For complete text of questions, see p. 32.

Among likely voters, more than eight in 10 say it is a good idea to require pay-as-you-go budgeting and a two-year spending plan; similar numbers also say that legislators should forfeit pay when the budget is late. Seventy-six percent of likely voters favor increasing the size of the rainy day fund. More than seven in 10 voters across parties and solid majorities across demographic groups favor each of these ideas. The percentage saying it is a good idea to require legislators to forfeit their pay when the budget is late rises as age increases. Latinos are much less likely than whites to say it is a good idea to require a two-year spending plan or to require legislators to forfeit pay when the budget is late.

| Percent saying good idea |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pay-as-you-go } \\ & \text { budgeting } \end{aligned}$ | Two-year spending plan | Legislators forfeit pay when budget is late | Increase size of state's rainy day fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All adults |  | 78\% | 77\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| Party | Democrats | 77 | 76 | 76 | 74 |
|  | Republicans | 84 | 83 | 84 | 71 |
|  | Independents | 84 | 79 | 80 | 79 |
| Age | 18-34 | 76 | 72 | 67 | 74 |
|  | 35-54 | 80 | 78 | 78 | 77 |
|  | 55 and older | 79 | 80 | 82 | 71 |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Latinos | 75 | 68 | 59 | 76 |
|  | Whites | 81 | 81 | 84 | 74 |
| Gender | Men | 78 | 77 | 77 | 77 |
|  | Women | 79 | 77 | 74 | 71 |
| Likely voters |  | 83 | 82 | 83 | 76 |

## FISCAL AND GOVERNANCE REFORMS (CONTINUED)

Another proposal, not currently under discussion, would strictly limit the amount of money that state spending could increase each year; seven in 10 Californians believe this is a good idea. A proposal that is currently under consideration would raise the vote requirement to pass any new fees that replace tax revenue from a simple majority to a two-thirds vote; 56 percent say this is a good idea. Legislators are also considering a proposal to lower the vote requirement to pass a state budget from two-thirds to a simple majority vote, while keeping the two-thirds vote for passing state taxes; 51 percent of Californians say this is a good idea. By comparison, when asked about lowering the vote threshold required to pass both the state budget and state taxes from two-thirds to a simple majority, Californians are slightly less supportive ( $47 \%$ good idea, $45 \%$ bad idea); this proposal is not currently under discussion by legislators.

> "Fiscal and governance reforms have been proposed to address the structural issues in the state budget. For each of the following, please say whether you think the proposal is a good idea or a bad idea. How about...?"*

|  | Strictly limit annual <br> spending increase | $\mathbf{2 / 3}$ vote for fees that <br> replace tax revenue | Simple majority for <br> budget, $\mathbf{2 / 3}$ for taxes | Simple majority for <br> budget and taxes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good idea | $71 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Bad idea | 23 | 34 | 38 | 45 |
| Don't know | 6 | 10 | 11 | 8 |

*For complete text of questions, see p. 32.

Seventy-two percent of likely voters and majorities across parties and demographic groups think it is a good idea to strictly limit the amount that state spending could increase each year; Republicans and independents are much more likely than Democrats, and whites more likely than Latinos, to hold this view. Raising the vote requirement to pass new fees that replace tax revenue is considered a good idea by majorities of likely voters (57\%), Republicans (64\%), and independents (62\%), but not Democrats (47\%).

What about relaxing the vote requirement to pass a state budget? Half of likely voters (51\%) think it is a good idea to lower the vote for the budget and keep the two-thirds vote for taxes. Fewer (44\%) support the idea of lowering the vote required to pass both the budget and taxes. Nearly six in 10 Democrats and half of independents favor both ideas. Republicans are more likely to favor relaxing the rule for the budget (41\%) than for budget and taxes (28\%).

| Percent saying good idea |  | Strictly limit annual spending increase | 2/3 vote for fees that replace tax revenue | Simple majority for budget, 2/3 for taxes | Simple majority for budget and taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All adults |  | 71\% | 56\% | 51\% | 47\% |
| Party | Democrats | 65 | 47 | 59 | 57 |
|  | Republicans | 77 | 64 | 41 | 28 |
|  | Independents | 79 | 62 | 51 | 50 |
| Age | 18-34 | 73 | 52 | 50 | 49 |
|  | 35-54 | 73 | 59 | 52 | 49 |
|  | 55 and older | 65 | 56 | 49 | 42 |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Latinos | 66 | 57 | 54 | 56 |
|  | Whites | 71 | 54 | 49 | 42 |
| Gender | Men | 69 | 60 | 52 | 47 |
|  | Women | 72 | 53 | 49 | 47 |
| Likely voters |  | 72 | 57 | 51 | 44 |

## REGIONAL MAP



## METHODOLOGY

The PPIC Statewide Survey is directed by Mark Baldassare, president and CEO and survey director at the Public Policy Institute of California, with assistance from Dean Bonner, project manager for this survey, and survey research associates Sonja Petek and Nicole Willcoxon. The Californians and Their Government series is supported with funding from The James Irvine Foundation. We benefit from discussions with PPIC staff, foundation staff, and other policy experts; however, the methods, questions, and content of this report were solely determined by Mark Baldassare and the survey staff.

Findings in this report are based on a survey of 2,003 California adult residents, reached on landline telephones and cell phones. Interviewing took place on weekday nights and weekend days between May 9 and 16, 2010. Interviews took an average of 19 minutes to complete.

Landline interviews were conducted using a computer-generated random sample of telephone numbers that ensured that both listed and unlisted numbers were called. All landline telephone exchanges in California were eligible for selection and the sample telephone numbers were called as many as six times to increase the likelihood of reaching eligible households. Once a household was reached, an adult respondent (age 18 or older) was randomly chosen for interviewing using the "last birthday method" to avoid biases in age and gender. A total of 201 cell phone interviews were included in this survey to account for the growing number of Californians who use them. These interviews were conducted using a computer-generated random sample of cell phone numbers. All cell phone numbers with California area codes were eligible for selection and the sample telephone numbers were called as many as eight times to increase the likelihood of reaching an eligible respondent. Once a cell phone user was reached, it was verified that this person was age 18 or older, a resident of California, and in a safe place to continue the survey (e.g., not driving). Cell phone respondents were offered a small reimbursement to help defray the potential cost of the call. Cell phone interviews were conducted with adults who have cell phone service only and with those who have both cell phone and landline service in the household.

Landline and cell phone interviewing was conducted in English and Spanish according to respondents' preferences. Accent on Languages, Inc. translated the survey into Spanish, with assistance from Renatta DeFever. Abt SRBI Inc. conducted the telephone interviewing.

With assistance from Abt SRBI we used recent U.S. Census and state figures to compare the demographic characteristics of the survey sample with characteristics of California's adult population. The survey sample was closely comparable to the census and state figures. Abt SRBI used data from the 2008 National Health Interview Survey and data from the 2005-2007 American Community Survey for California, both to estimate landline and cell phone service in California and to compare it against landline and cell phone service reported in the survey. The survey data in this report were statistically weighted to account for any differences in demographics and telephone service.

The sampling error for the total of 2,003 adults is $\pm 2$ percent at the 95 percent confidence level. This means that 95 times out of 100 , the results will be within 2 percentage points of what they would be if all adults in California were interviewed. The sampling error for subgroups is larger: For the 1,598 registered voters, it is $\pm 2.5$ percent; for the 1,168 likely voters, it is $\pm 3$ percent; for the 411 Republican primary likely voters, who were asked questions about Republican primary candidates, it is $\pm 5$ percent; for the 829 adults interviewed after the governor released his budget proposal May 14, it is $\pm 3.5$ percent. Sampling error is only one type of error to which surveys are subject. Results may also be affected by factors such as question wording, question order, and survey timing.

We present results for four geographic regions, accounting for approximately 90 percent of the state population. "Central Valley" includes Butte, Colusa, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, and Yuba Counties. "San Francisco Bay Area" includes Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma Counties. "Los Angeles" refers to Los Angeles County, and "Other Southern California" includes Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and San Diego Counties. Residents from other geographic areas are included in the results reported for all adults, registered voters, and likely voters, but sample sizes for these less populated areas are not large enough to report separately.

We present specific results for Latinos because they account for about 30 percent of the state's adult population and constitute one of the fastest growing voter groups. Sample sizes for African Americans and Asian Americans are not large enough for separate analysis. We compare the opinions of registered Democrats, Republicans, and independents (those who are registered as "decline to state"). We also include the responses of "likely voters"-those who are most likely to vote in the state's elections based on their responses to survey questions on past voting, current interest in politics, and voting intentions.

We compare current PPIC Statewide Survey results to those in our earlier surveys and to those in national surveys by NBC News/Wall Street Journal and by the Pew Research Center.

## QUESTIONNAIRE AND RESULTS

## CALIFORNIANS AND THEIR GOVERNMENT

May 9-16, 2010
2,003 California Adult Residents:
English, Spanish
MARGIN OF ERROR $\pm 2 \%$ AT 95\% CONFIDENCE LEVEL FOR TOTAL SAMPLE

1. First, thinking about the state as a whole, what do you think is the most important issue facing people in California today?

## [code, don't read]

53\% jobs, economy
15 state budget, deficit, taxes
10 education, schools
9 immigration, illegal immigration
3 health care, health costs
8 other
2 don't know
2. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Arnold Schwarzenegger is handling his job as governor of California?

| $23 \%$ | approve |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65 | disapprove |
| 12 | don't know |

3. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that the California Legislature is handling its job?

16\% approve
72 disapprove
12 don't know
4. Do you think that Governor Schwarzenegger and the state legislature will be able to work together and accomplish a lot this year, or not?
$19 \%$ yes, will be able to work together
73 no, will not be able to work together
8 don't know
5. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or the wrong direction?

18\% right direction
77 wrong direction
5 don't know
6. Turning to economic conditions in California, do you think that during the next 12 months we will have good times financially or bad times?

28\% good times
65 bad times
7 don't know
7. Would you say that California is in an economic recession, or not? (if yes: Do you think it is in a serious, a moderate, or a mild recession?)

55\% yes, serious recession
28 yes, moderate recession
7 yes, mild recession
9 no
1 don't know
8. Next, some people are registered to vote and others are not. Are you absolutely certain that you are registered to vote in California?

```
80% yes [ask q8a]
19 no [skip to q9b]
    1 don't know [skip to q9b]
```

8a.Are you registered as a Democrat, a Republican, another party, or as an independent?

45\% Democrat [ask q9]
31 Republican [skip to q9a]
2 another party (specify) [skip to q11]
22 independent [skip to q9b]
9. Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

52\% strong
45 not very strong
3 don't know
[skip to q11]
9a.Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

50\% strong
46 not very strong
4 don't know
[skip to q10]
9b. Do you think of yourself as closer to the
Republican Party or Democratic Party?
23\% Republican Party
43 Democratic Party
26 neither (volunteered)
8 don't know
[responses recorded for questions 9c to 20 are for likely voters]
[if q8a=independent, ask q9c, if $q 8 a=$ Republican, skip to $q 10$, otherwise skip to q21]

9c.California voters like you will be able to choose between voting in the Republican primary, the Democratic primary, or selecting a nonpartisan ballot on June 8th. All three ballots include state proposition measures. Do you plan to vote in the Republican primary, the Democratic primary, or on the nonpartisan ballot?

12\% Republican primary [ask q10]
20 Democratic primary [skip to q11]
51 nonpartisan ballot [skip to q11]
17 don’t know [skip to q11]
10.If the Republican primary for governor were being held today, and these were the candidates, who would you vote for? [rotate
names and then ask "or someone else"]
38\% Meg Whitman, businesswoman
29 Steve Poizner, businessman
2 someone else (specify)
31 don't know

If these were the candidates in the November 2010 governor's election....
[rotate questions 11 and 12]
11. Would you vote for...[rotate names]

45\% Jerry Brown, the Democrat, attorney general of California
32 Steve Poizner, the Republican, businessman
23 don't know
12. Would you vote for...[rotate names]

42\% Jerry Brown, the Democrat, attorney general of California
37 Meg Whitman, the Republican, businesswoman

21 don't know
13. How closely are you following news about candidates for the 2010 governor's election?

21\% very closely
46 fairly closely
27 not too closely
6 not at all closely
[if q8a=Republican or q9c=Republican
primary, ask q14, otherwise skip to q15]
14. If the Republican primary for U.S. senator were being held today, and these were the candidates, who would you vote for? [rotate names and then ask "or someone else"]

25\% Carly Fiorina, business executive
23 Tom Campbell, economist/ business educator
16 Chuck DeVore, assemblyman/ military reservist

36 don't know
If these were the candidates in the November 2010 U.S. senator's election...

## [rotate questions 15 to 17]

15. Would you vote for...[rotate names]

46\% Barbara Boxer, the Democrat, United States senator

40 Tom Campbell, the Republican, economist/business educator
14 don't know
16. Would you vote for. ..[rotate names]

50\% Barbara Boxer, the Democrat, United States senator
39 Chuck DeVore, the Republican, assemblyman/military resenvist
11 don't know
17. Would you vote for...[rotate names]

48\% Barbara Boxer, the Democrat, United States senator

39 Carly Fiorina, the Republican, business executive

13 don't know
18.Changing topics, Proposition 14 is called "Elections. Increases Right to Participate in Primary Elections." It changes the primary election process for congressional, statewide, and legislative races, allows all voters to choose any candidate regardless of the candidate's or voter's political party preference, and ensures that the two candidates receiving the greatest number of votes will appear on the general election ballot regardless of party preference. Fiscal Impact includes no significant net change in state and local government costs to administer elections. If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on Proposition 14?

60\% yes
27 no
13 don't know
19. How important is the issue of allowing voters to select any candidate, regardless of party, in California's primaries? Is this issue very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important to you?

51\% very important
30 somewhat important
10 not too important
7 not at all important
2 don't know
20. Do you think the primary system in California is in need of major changes, minor changes, or is it fine the way it is?

36\% major changes
35 minor changes
23 fine the way it is
6 don't know
21. Next, would you say that the supply of water is a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not much of a problem in your part of California?

42\% big problem
27 somewhat of a problem
29 not much of a problem
2 don't know
22. The governor and legislature passed a water package that includes water conservation requirements and plans for new water storage systems, water clean-up and recycling, and a council to oversee restoration of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. This package includes an \$11.1 billion bond measure on the November ballot to pay for water projects. How important is it that voters pass the bond measure?

42\% very important
28 somewhat important
9 not too important
11 not at all important
10 don't know

## [rotate questions 23 and 24]

23. A November ballot initiative is titled, "Changes California Law to Legalize Marijuana and Allow It to Be Regulated and Taxed." In general, do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal, or not?
```
48% yes, legal
49 no, illegal
    3 don't know
```

24. Regardless of what you think about the personal non-medical uses of marijuana, do you think adults should be allowed to legally use marijuana for medical purposes if their doctors prescribe it or do you think that marijuana should be illegal even for medical purposes?
$76 \%$ should be allowed for medical purposes
22 should be illegal even for medical purposes
2 don't know
25. On another topic, do you think the state budget situation in California-that is, the balance between government spending and revenues-is a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem for the people of California today?
81\% big problem
15 somewhat of a problem
1 not a problem
3 don't know
26. As you may know, the state government currently has an annual budget of around $\$ 85$ billion and faces a multibillion-dollar gap between spending and revenues. How would you prefer to deal with the state's budget gap-mostly through spending cuts, mostly through tax increases, through a mix of spending cuts and tax increases, or do you think that it is okay for the state to borrow money and run a budget deficit?
$39 \%$ mostly through spending cuts
7 mostly through tax increases
42 through a mix of spending cuts and tax increases
6 okay to borrow money and run a budget deficit
2 other answer (specify)
4 don't know
27. When it comes to the tough choices involved in the state budget, both in deciding how much Californians should pay in taxes and how to fund state programs, whose approach do you most prefer: [rotate]
(1) Governor Schwarzenegger's, (2) the Democrats' in the legislature, [or] (3) the Republicans' in the legislature?

35\% Democrats' approach
25 Republicans' approach
11 Governor Schwarzenegger's
1 other answer (specify)
10 none (volunteered)
18 don't know
28. Some of the largest areas for state spending are: [rotate] (1) K-12 public education, (2) higher education, (3) health and human services, [and] (4) prisons and corrections. Thinking about these four areas of state spending, l'd like you to name the one you most want to protect from spending cuts.

56\% K-12 public education
17 higher education
17 health and human services
7 prisons and corrections
3 don't know

Tax increases could be used to help reduce the state budget deficit. For each of the following, please indicate whether you would be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not.

## [rotate questions 29 to 32]

29. What if the state said it needed more money just to maintain current funding for K-12 public education? Would you be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not?

69\% yes
29 no
2 don't know
30. What if the state said it needed more money just to maintain current funding for higher education? Would you be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not?

54\% yes
43 no
3 don't know
31. What if the state said it needed more money just to maintain current funding for health and human services? Would you be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not?

```
54% yes
43 no
    3 don't know
```

32. What if the state said it needed more money just to maintain current funding for prisons and corrections? Would you be willing to pay higher taxes for this purpose, or not?

| 18\% | yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| 79 | no |
| 3 | don't know |

Tax and fee increases could be used to help reduce the state's large gap between spending and revenues. For each of the following, please say if you favor or oppose the proposal.

## [rotate questions 33 to 36]

33. How about raising the state taxes paid by California corporations?

58\% favor
39 oppose
3 don't know
34. How about raising the top rate of the state income tax paid by the wealthiest
Californians?
67\% favor
30 oppose
3 don't know
35. How about increasing the vehicle license fee?

28\% favor
69 oppose
3 don't know
36. How about extending the state sales tax to services that are not currently taxed?

35\% favor
58 oppose
7 don't know
[questions 37 to 37b asked starting May 14]
37.Recently, Governor Schwarzenegger proposed a budget plan for the next fiscal year to close the state's $\$ 19$ billion budget deficit. It includes spending cuts in health and human senvices, including the elimination of CalWORKS, the state's welfare-to-work program. It includes spending reductions in prisons and corrections and state employee compensation. It claims to have no spending cuts in K-12 education and increases spending on higher education. The plan includes no new taxes. In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the governor's budget plan?

46\% satisfied
43 dissatisfied
3 haven't heard anything about the budget (volunteered)
8 don't know

## [rotate questions 37a and 37b]

37a. Do you think that tax increases should be included in the governor's budget plan?

| $46 \%$ | yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| 49 | no |
| 5 | don't know |

37b.Overall, how concerned are you about the effects of the spending reductions in the governor's budget plan?

40\% very concerned
40 somewhat concerned
9 not too concerned
8 not at all concerned
3 don't know
Fiscal and governance reforms have been proposed to address the structural issues in the state budget. For each of the following, please say whether you think the proposal is a good idea or a bad idea.
[rotate questions 38 to 43, keeping 40 and 40a as a rotated block]
38. How about requiring the governor and legislature to have a two-year spending plan along with a five-year fiscal forecast before approving the annual state budget?

77\% good idea
16 bad idea
7 don't know
39. How about requiring that any major new programs, expanded programs, or tax reductions identify a specific funding source?

78\% good idea
14 bad idea
8 don't know
40. How about lowering the vote requirement to pass a state budget from a two-thirds vote to a simple majority or 50-percent-plus-one vote while keeping the two-thirds vote requirement for passing state taxes?

51\% good idea
38 bad idea
11 don't know

40a. How about lowering the vote requirement to pass a state budget and state taxes from a two-thirds vote to a simple majority or 50-percent-plus-one vote?

47\% good idea
45 bad idea
8 don't know
40b. How about raising the vote requirement to pass any new fees that replace tax revenue from a simple majority or 50-percent-plusone vote to a two-thirds vote?

56\% good idea
34 bad idea
10 don't know
41. How about requiring that the members of the state legislature forfeit their pay and perday allowance when the state budget is late?

75\% good idea
19 bad idea
6 don't know
42. How about increasing the size of the state's rainy day fund and requiring above-average revenues to be deposited into it for use during economic downturns?

74\% good idea
18 bad idea
8 don't know
43. How about strictly limiting the amount of money that state spending could increase each year?

71\% good idea
23 bad idea
6 don't know
44. On another topic, overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Barack Obama is handling his job as president of the United States?

59\% approve
37 disapprove
4 don't know

## [rotate questions 45 and 46]

45. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Dianne Feinstein is handling her job as U.S. senator?

50\% approve
35 disapprove
15 don't know
46. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that Barbara Boxer is handling her job as U.S. senator?

50\% approve
38 disapprove
12 don't know
47. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress is handling its job?

31\% approve
61 disapprove
8 don't know
48. Next, would you consider yourself to be politically: [read list, rotate order top to bottom]

8\% very liberal
22 somewhat liberal
32 middle-of-the-road
24 somewhat conservative
12 very conservative
2 don't know
49. Generally speaking, how much interest would you say you have in politics?

24\% great deal
41 fair amount
29 only a little
6 none
[d1 to d18: demographic questions]
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